

REGULATIONS OF THE REVIEWING AND EXPERT EVALUATION OF COPYRIGHT ORIGINAL ARTICLE (MATERIALS) SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION IN THE MEDIA

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1. This Statement of reviewing and expert evaluation of the copyright of original articles (materials) submitted for publication in the media (the media) (the Regulations) defines the procedure for reviewing articles Received printed media International scientific periodical «Modern fundamental and applied researches» (hereinafter Journal).

1.2. Regulations have been developed in accordance with the Charter of the media outlet.

1.3. Peer review and expert evaluation of all materials to be submitted for publication in the Journal.

1.4. Peer review and peer review carried out by at least two members of the Editorial Board who have a PhD degree or PhD - recognized experts on the subject of peer-reviewed material and have for the past 3 years, publications on peer-reviewed article, after first checking the material received for completeness, correctness of registration and the correctness of borrowings (plagiarism).

1.5. Reviewing is organized by the Chief Editor.

1.6. The review is carried out in a timely manner, in confidence, on the part of the author, and by the reviewer.

1.7. In the review, as a rule, should be briefly assessed:

- the overall scientific level of the work;
- name and its compliance with the content of the article;
- the relevance of the topic;
- scientific novelty, the theoretical and practical significance of the findings;
- the structure of the work;
- presence in the discussion and / or invalid provisions;

- compliance with the reader's interest;
- the opinion of the reviewer about the possibility or impossibility of the publication of the manuscript.

1.8. The signed and stamped reviewer organization is stored in the original review of the editorial board of five (5) years. The author reviewed the materials at his request given the opportunity to familiarize themselves with the text of the review.

2. THE REVIEW PROCEDURE

2.1. Editor in Chief directs sent for publication in the Journal of the material for review within five (5) working days from the date of its receipt to the office, if the materials comply with formal requirements.

2.2. Reviewer within 20 (twenty) business days from receipt of the material and considers it is in their editorial review duly executed (by mail, email, fax).

2.3. The review should contain clear-cut attitude of the reviewer to the material presented and the relevant recommendations:

- recommended for publication
- to recommend the publication of revised based on the comments,
- not to recommend for publication.

If the reviewer does not recommend the article for publication in reviews must contain specific reasoning for this decision.

2.4. If reviewers differed in assessing the article, the decision to publish tabled the Editorial Board, which produces a common position by the discussion that is reflected in the conclusion of the Editorial Board. The decision to publish taken by a simple majority vote.

2.5. Editorial publications to the authors of submissions or copies of reviews of a reasoned refusal, and also undertakes to send copies of reviews in the Ministry of Education and Science for admission to the editor publication prompted.

3. THE DECISION TO PUBLISH

3.1. The decision to publish, or to refuse to publish taken at the next meeting of the Editorial Board of the results of the peer review and peer review.

3.2. The Editorial Board, in case of refusal to publish or direction for improvement, within 5 (five) working days after the decision sent to the author (or authors) written report, which sets out a reasoned decision of the Editorial Board. The letter is mandatory attached a copy of the full text of the review (without the name of the reviewer).

3.3. Article received by the Editorial Board revised taking into account comments considered as general priority.

3.4. The modified version of the article can be sent to re-review of the decision of the Editorial Board. In the case of repeated negative reviews submitted material will not be considered further.